



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/046,544	01/16/2002	Trevor Graham Blease	P 290580 UQI 50807/USw	P 290580 UQI 50807/USw 1801		
43569 75	590 05/13/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
•	OWN, ROWE & MAW I	CLARI	CLARDY, S			
1909 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		1617			
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/046,5	544	BLEASE ET AL.				
		Examine	or .	Art Unit				
		S. Mark		1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 18 January 20	05.					
	·							
3)□								
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/046,544

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 1-29 are pending in this application has been filed as a continuation of international application PCT/GB00/02670, filed July 12, 2000. No priority documents have been filed.

Several prior art documents have been scanned, but no IDS has been provided.

Applicants' claims are drawn to surfactant compounds of the formula (I), agrochemical compositions, and methods of use:

$$R^{1} > X^{1} - [Link] - R^{3}$$

$$R^{2}$$

The simplest possible structure for the compounds of formula I appears to be:

$$CH(OH)_2 - NH - CH_2 - CH(OH)_2$$

In the response filed January 18, 2005, applicants elected the species comprising the compound identified in the specification as "SE22" (p. 20)¹:

(1-deoxyglucityl) – N – CH
$$_2$$
 – CH(OH) – CH $_2$ – (OPr) $_4$ (OEt) $_{10}$ – O – (branched C $_{18}$) | CH $_3$

Claim 11 has been withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a nonelected species.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

¹ 1-(N-methyl-N-1-deoxyglucitylamino)-2-hydroxyl-3-(branched octadecyloxy poly-4PO-10EO)-propane.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,544 Page 3

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 1-10 and 12-29 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of copending Application No. 10/504,126. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the R¹ group in the instant application is defined as polyhydroxy hydrocarbyl, whereas the corresponding goup in the '126 application is disclosed in the specification as being hydrocarbyl, alkyl hydroxyalkyl, or alkoxyalkyl (or just hydrocarbyl in the claims). On p. 2 of the '126 application, it is disclosed that the hydroxyl group or oxygen atom may provide a modest increase in hydrophilicity or water solubility, thus a polyhydroxy hydrocarbyl group would be an obvious way to further enhance water solubility.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Mark Clardy whose telephone number is 571-272-0611. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Mark Clardy

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1617